Accuracy Enhancement and Filtering for Visualisation of Discontinuous Solutions

Prof. Kees Vuik Dr. Jennifer K. Ryan Paulien van Slingerland

Delft University of Technology

TU Berlin, 15 December 2009



Motivation and Background

- [°] Discontinuous Galerkin Method
- Post-Processing for Accuracy Enhancement
- Applications in Visualisation

Issues and challenges

- ° non-uniform mesh
- ° derivative post-processing
- $^{\circ} \Rightarrow$ one-sided post-processing \Leftarrow

Summary



1D Discontinuous Galerkin Formulation



Define a Mesh and an Approximation Space: $I_j = (x_j - \frac{\Delta x_j}{2}, x_j + \frac{\Delta x_j}{2}), \quad j = 1, \dots, N \text{ and } V_h = \{\phi_j^{(l)}(x) \in \mathbb{P}^k | I_j, j = 1, \dots, N\}$ Consider $u_t + f(u)_x = 0$.

Weak Formulation: Find $u_h(x,t) \in V_h$ such that

$$\int_{I_j} (u_h)_t v dx = \int_{I_j} f(u_h) v_x dx - f((u_h)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) v_{j+\frac{1}{2}} + f((u_h)_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) v_{j-\frac{1}{2}} dx +$$

for all $v \in V_h$.

TUDelft

1D Discontinuous Galerkin Formulation



Numerical Scheme:

$$\int_{I_j} (u_h)_t v dx = \int_{I_j} f(u_h) v_x dx - \hat{f}_{j+1/2} v_{j+1/2}^- + \hat{f}_{j-1/2} v_{j-1/2}^+$$

 $\forall v \in V_h.$

- Use upwind monotone flux
- Take *v* from inside the cell

DG solution:
$$u_h(x,t) = \sum_{l=0}^k u_i^{(l)}(t)\phi_i^{(l)}(x)$$
 if $x \in I_i$.

″UDelft

Can we improve an existing DG approximation?

1-D Variable Coefficient



TUDelft

The post-processor:

$$u^{\star} = K_h^{2(k+1),k+1} \star u_h$$

Why do we post-process?

- ^o Errors in DG solution are highly oscillatory
- Post-processing filters out oscillations around the exact solution
- Result is a solution that has increased smoothness and accuracy



- B. Cockburn, M. Luskin, C.-W. Shu, A. Süli, Math Comp. (2003)
 - ° Discontinuous Galerkin approximation errors:

$$||u_h - u||_{-l} = \mathcal{O}(h^{2k+1}),$$

whereas in the L_2 -norm we have

$$||u_h - u||_2 = \mathcal{O}(h^{k+1}).$$

° Post-processor extracts this information.

$$u^*(x) = K_h * u_h$$

- Works for a locally uniform mesh:
 - \longrightarrow Translation invariant

fuDelft

 $\stackrel{\text{ft}}{\longrightarrow}$ Post-Processor is local

The negative order norm is given by

$$||u||_{-\ell,\Omega} = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} u(x)\phi(x)dx}{||\phi||_{\ell,\Omega}}, \quad \ell \ge 1,$$

which is just a seminorm divided by the usual Sobolev norm.

Example: For the function $u_N = \sin(2\pi Nx)$, $\Omega = (-1, 1)$, $\ell \ge 1$, the negative order norm is

$$||u_N||_{-\ell,\Omega} = \frac{1}{(2\pi N)^\ell}$$

The negative order norm tells us that $sin(2\pi Nx)$ oscillates around zero fairly regularly.

TUDelft

Bramble & Schatz, Math. Comp. (1977) Mock & Lax, Comm. Pure Appl. Math (1978)

Post-Processor Kernel

- Independent of the partial differential equation.
- ° Applied only at the final time.
- Filters out oscillations in the error.

Kernel Properties

- ° Compact Support \Rightarrow Computationally advantages
- ° Reproduces polynomials of degree 2k by convolution. ⇒ Accuracy is not lost.
- Linear combination of B-splines.



- $^\circ~$ Use Negative order norms $\Rightarrow~$ Tells us how oscillatory a function is (difficult to compute).
- $^{\circ}$ Use Convolution \Rightarrow "Filters" out these oscillations
- $^{\circ}$ B-splines \Rightarrow Gives the convolution kernel nice properties.
- ^o Make assumptions on the approximation and the mesh.

Result: A post-processor that filters out oscillations in the error and improves the order of accuracy.



Post-processed solution: $u^{\star}(x) = K_h^{2(k+1),k+1} \star u_h$.

$$K_h^{2(k+1),k+1}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{\gamma=-k}^k c_{\gamma}^{2(k+1),k+1} \psi^{(k+1)} \left(\frac{x}{h} - \gamma\right)$$

$$h = \triangle x_i$$
 for all i , and $c_{\gamma}^{2(k+1),k+1} \in \mathbb{R}$.

B-spline recursion formula:

$$\psi^{(1)} = \chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]},$$

$$\psi^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{k} \left[\left(x + \frac{k+1}{2} \right) \psi^{(k)} \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{k+1}{2} - x \right) \psi^{(k)} \left(x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right], \ k \ge 1.$$

TUDelft

Convolution Coefficients

To find
$$c_{\gamma}$$
, $\gamma = -k, \cdots, k$:
Use $K_h^{2(k+1),k+1} \star x^m = x^m$ for $m = 1, \cdots, x^{2k}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int \psi^{(k+1)}(x-y-k) \, dy & \cdots & \int \psi^{(k+1)}(x-y+k) \, dy \\ \int \psi^{(k+1)}(x-y-k) \, y \, dy & \cdots & \int \psi^{(k+1)}(x-y+k) \, y \, dy \\ \int \psi^{(k+1)}(x-y-k) \, y^2 \, dy & \cdots & \int \psi^{(k+1)}(x-y+k) \, y^2 \, dy \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \int \psi^{(k+1)}(x-y-k) \, y^{2k} \, dy & \cdots & \int \psi^{(k+1)}(x-y+k) \, y^{2k} \, dy \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{-k} \\ \cdots \\ c_{0} \\ \cdots \\ c_{k} \end{bmatrix}$$



Example: Kernel B-splines



Second Order Approximation

 $\psi^{(2)}(x+1) \qquad \psi^{(2)}(x) \qquad \psi^{(2)}(x-1)$



Delft University of Technology

15 December 2009 - p.13/4

Find
$$c_{\gamma}, \ \gamma = -1, 0, 1$$
: Use $K_h^{4,2} \star p = p$ for $p = 1, x, x^2$



$$K^{4,2}(x) = \frac{-1}{12}\psi^{(2)}(x-1) + \frac{7}{6}\psi^{(2)}(x) - \frac{1}{12}\psi^{(2)}(x+1)$$



For element $I_j = (x_{j-1/2,j+1/2})$:

$$\Rightarrow u^{\star}(x) = \sum_{i} \sum_{l=0}^{k} u_{i}^{l} \sum_{\gamma=-k}^{k} c_{\gamma}^{2(k+1),k+1} \int \psi^{(k+1)} \left(\frac{x-y}{h} - \gamma\right) \phi_{i}^{(l)}(y) \, dy.$$

where
$$i = j - p', \cdots, j + p', \ p' = \lceil \frac{3k+1}{2} \rceil$$

k	1	2	3
p'	2	3	5

Note: p' is the number of elements needed on each side of the element being post-processed.

Example: Implementing k = 1 case



green line = DG
approximation on one
element.

blue line = kernel. The
kernel is introducing
smoothness at the element
boundaries.

Convolution Kernel:

$$K^{4,2}(x) = \frac{-1}{12}\psi^{(2)}(x-1) + \frac{7}{6}\psi^{(2)}(x) - \frac{1}{12}\psi^{(2)}(x+1)$$

Discontinuous Galerkin Solution: $u_h(x) = u_j^{(0)}\phi_j^{(0)} + u_j^{(1)}\phi_j^{(1)}$ for element $I_j = (x_{j-1/2}, x_{j+1/2}).$ The 2-D case is simply a tensor product of the 1-D case.

Kernel:

$$K_h = \frac{1}{h_x h_y} \sum_{\gamma_x = -k}^k \sum_{\gamma_y = -k}^k c_{\gamma_x} c_{\gamma_y} \psi^{(k+1)} \left(\frac{x}{h_x} - \gamma_x\right) \psi^{(k+1)} \left(\frac{y}{h_y} - \gamma_y\right)$$

We can use *either* a tensor product of polynomials, \mathbb{Q}^k - ({1, x, y, xy}), or the usual polynomial basis, \mathbb{P}^k - ({1, x, y}).

1-D Variable Coefficient



TUDelft

Ryan, Shu, Atkins, SISC (2005)

	$u_h(x, 1)$	2.5)	$u^*(x, 12.5)$				
mesh	L^2 error	order	$L^2 \operatorname{error}$	order			
	\mathbb{P}^1						
10	1.83E-02		7.82E-02	—			
20	4.35E-03	2.07	1.08E-03	2.86			
40	1.07E-03	2.03	1.39E-04	2.96			
	\mathbb{P}^2						
10	8.61E-04		1.34E-04	—			
20	1.07E-04	3.01	2.34E-06	5.84			
40	1.34E-05	3.00	4.55E-08	5.69			

$$u_t + (au)_x = f$$

$$a(x) = 2 + \sin(x)$$
$$u(x, 0) = \sin(3x)$$
$$u(0, t) = u(2\pi, t)$$
$$T = 12.5$$



Streamline Calculation: Filtering Entire Field

- ^o Obtain numerical approximation
- Post-Process the approximation
- We can then choose our time integrator for the streamline calculation (such as RK-4)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\vec{x}(t) = \vec{F}(\vec{x}(t))$$
$$\vec{x}(t=0) = \vec{x}_0$$

 The post-processor increases smoothness of the approximation to help obtain the correct streamline. Example Field: Scheuerman, Tricoche, and Hagen, IEEE Vis (1999). Steffan, Curtis, Kirby, and Ryan, IEEE-TVCG (2008).



Applications in Filtering for Visualization

Streamline Calculation: Filtering Entire Field

	L^2 (error	L^{∞} error					
Ν	Before	After	Before	After				
		\mathbb{P}	01					
20	1.2642E-02	4.8779E-04	1.3028E-01	2.0830E-03				
40	4.4291E-03	3.8597E-05	4.8341E-02	1.7929E-04				
80	1.3054E-03 2.7114E-06		1.7165E-02	1.3033E-05				
		\mathbb{P}	2					
20	2.2576E-04	6.8329E-06	1.8986E-03	1.3061E-05				
40	5.0880E-05	1.4086E-07	5.4698E-04	2.6435E-07				
80	8.4056E-06	2.4689E-09	9.9905E-05	4.6007E-09				

U component



Streamline Calculation: Filtering Entire Field

Limitations:

- Our Oniform quadrilateral mesh ··· What about 3 − D?
 ⇒ For 1 & 2-D use a characteristic length.
- ° Higher order streamline integrator need derivative information. → Use smoother splines.
- Maintaining Boundary Values.
- ^o Post-Processing entire field can be expensive (R.M. Kirby, Utah).



Curtis, Kirby, Ryan, and Shu, SISC (2007). **Post-processing solution on cell** I_j .

• Let *L* be the characteristic length used in the post-processor, where $L = \max_{i=1,\dots,N} \Delta x_i$.

$$C_L(i,l,k,x) = \frac{1}{L} \int_{I_{i+j}} \psi^{(k+1)} \left(\frac{y-x}{L} - \gamma\right) \left(\frac{y-x_{i+j}}{\Delta x_{i+j}}\right)^l dy,$$

• Find post-processed solution on I_j :

$$u^{\star}(x) = \sum_{i=-p'}^{p'} \sum_{l=0}^{k} u^{(l)}_{(i+j)} C_L(i,l,k,x)$$



Streamline Calculation: Filtering Entire Field

Limitations:

- ° Uniform quadrilateral mesh · · · What about 3 D? → For 1 & 2-D use a characteristic length.
- →Higher order streamline integrator need derivative information.
 - \rightarrow Use smoother splines.
- Maintaining Boundary Values.
- ^o Post-Processing entire field can be expensive (R.M. Kirby, Utah).



Accuracy Improvement for Derivatives

Two methods

Calculating the derivative of the post-processing polynomial directly.

Ryan, Shu, Atkins, SISC (2005)

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(h^{2k+2-d})$$

 $^{\circ} \Rightarrow$ Using higher-order B-splines in the convolution kernel together with divided differences of the numerical solution. \Leftarrow

Thomee, Math. Comp. (1977) Cockburn & Ryan, JCP (2009)

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(h^{2k+1})$$



$$\frac{d^s u^*}{dx^s}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{K}^{s,2(k+1),k+1}\left(\frac{y-x}{h}\right) \,\partial_h^s u_h(y,T) \,dy.$$

for the s^{th} derivative.

- Uses higher order B-splines than post-processed solution.
- Kernel has a wider support.

Kernel:

$$\tilde{K}^{s,2(k+1),k+1} = \sum_{\gamma=-k}^{k} \tilde{c}_{\gamma} \ \psi^{(k+s+1)}(x-\gamma).$$



Streamline Calculation: Filtering Entire Field

Limitations:

- ° Uniform quadralateral mesh · · · What about 3 D? → For 1 & 2-D use a characteristic length.
- $^{\circ}$ Higher order streamline integrator need derivative information. \rightarrow Use smoother splines.
- $^{\circ} \Rightarrow$ Maintaining Boundary Values. \leftarrow
- ^o Post-Processing entire field can be expensive (R.M. Kirby, Utah).



(Old) Left Post-Processor



Ryan and Shu, MAA (2003)

$$u^{\star}(x) = \sum_{j=-2p'}^{0} \sum_{l=0}^{k} u_{i+j}^{(l)} C(j,l,k,x)$$

where $p' = \lceil (3k+1)/2\rceil \leq 2k$ and $u^\star \in \mathbb{P}^{2k+1}$

$$C(j,l,k,x) = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{\gamma=-2k-1}^{-k} c_{\gamma}^{2(k+1),k+1} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-(\xi_i+\gamma)}^{\frac{1}{2}-(\xi_i+\gamma)} \psi^{(k+1)}(\eta) \left(\xi_i + \eta + \gamma - j\right)^l dy$$

For k = 1:

TUDelft

$$K(x) = \frac{11}{12}\psi^{(2)}(x+3) - \frac{17}{6}\psi^{(2)}(x+2) + \frac{35}{12}\psi^{(2)}(x+1)$$

Delft University of Technology

15 December 2009 - p.29/4

Problem 1: discontinuities are not eliminated (stair-stepping) Problem 2: the errors at the boundary can be worse than before





Problem 1: not all discontinuities are eliminated (stair-stepping) Problem 2: the errors at the boundary can be worse than before



These problems can be solved through a new type of one-sided post-processing (following slides)



01

The discontinuities can be avoided by using kernel nodes that depend continuously on the evaluation point through the shift function $\lambda(\bar{x})$:

$$u_h^{\star}(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2\kappa} c_{\gamma}(\bar{x}) \int_I \psi_h^{(k+1)} \left(x - \underbrace{(\lambda(\bar{x}) + \gamma)}_{\text{kernel node}} \right) u_h(\bar{x} - x) \, dx.$$

van Slingerland, Ryan, & Vuik (2009).



The discontinuities can be avoided by using kernel nodes that depend continuously on the evaluation point through the shift function $\lambda(\bar{x})$:

$$u_h^{\star}(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\gamma=0}^{2k} c_{\gamma}(\bar{x}) \int_I \psi_h^{(k+1)} \left(x - \underbrace{(\lambda(\bar{x}) + \gamma)}_{\text{kernel node}} \right) u_h(\bar{x} - x) \, dx.$$

Three examples (the kernel nodes are indicated by the red circles):

$$\lambda(\bar{x}) = -k \qquad \lambda(\bar{x}) = \frac{k+1}{2} \qquad \lambda(\bar{x}) = -0.5$$
Symmetric kernel of order 2
$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_$$

The discontinuities can be avoided by using kernel nodes that depend continuously on the evaluation point through the shift function $\lambda(\bar{x})$:



The accuracy near the boundary can be improved by using extra kernel nodes in that region.

$$u_{h}^{\star}(\bar{x}) = \theta(\bar{x}) \underbrace{u_{h,2k+1}^{\star}(\bar{x})}_{\text{filtering with } 2k+1 \text{ nodes}} + (1-\theta(\bar{x})) \underbrace{u_{h,4k+1}^{\star}(\bar{x})}_{\text{filtering with } 4k+1 \text{ nodes}}$$

$$\underbrace{u_{h,4k+1}^{\star}(\bar{x})}_{\text{smooth convex combination}}$$

• In the interior: $\theta(\bar{x}) = 1$ (old filter suffices)

- Near the boundary: $\theta(\bar{x}) = 0$ (extra accuracy through extra nodes)
- Transition regions: choose θ smooth



The new post-processor improves both the convergence rate and the absolute value of the errors for a problem with a periodic BC





The new post-processor improves both the convergence rate and the absolute value of the errors for a problem with a periodic BC



TUDelft

The new post-processor improves both the convergence rate and the absolute value of the errors for a problem with a periodic BC

	Before		After (Old)		After (New)		
mesh	L^2 -error	order	L^2 -error	order	L^2 -error	order	
	Polynomial Degree k = 2						
20	2.683e-04	-	4.003e-03	-	1.301e-05	-	
40	3.352e-05	3.00	2.108e-04	4.25	3.767e-07	5.11	
80	4.190e-06	3.00	5.464e-06	5.27	1.056e-08	5.16	
160	5.238e-07	3.00	1.254e-07	5.45	3.090e-10	5.10	
	Polynomial Degree k = 3						
20	5.176e-06	-	1.304e-04	-	3.757e-07	-	
40	3.236e-07	4.00	4.712e-06	4.79	6.634e-10	9.15	
80	2.023e-08	4.00	3.406e-08	7.11	2.957e-12	7.81	
160	1.264e-09	4.00	1.999e-10	7.41	1.287e-14	7.84	

TUDelft

Delft University of Technology

15 December 2009 - p.38/4

The new post-processor improves both the convergence rate and the absolute value of the errors for a problem with a Dirichlet BC



The new post-processor improves both the convergence rate and the absolute value of the errors for a problem with a Dirichlet BC

	Before		After (Old)		After (New)		
mesh	L^2 -error	order	L^2 -error	order	L^2 -error	order	
	Polynomial Degree k = 2						
20	2.681e-04	-	4.003e-03	-	6.984e-06	-	
40	3.352e-05	3.00	2.108e-04	4.25	1.850e-07	5.24	
80	4.190e-06	3.00	5.464e-06	5.27	4.798e-09	5.27	
160	5.238e-07	3.00	1.254e-07	5.45	1.498e-10	5.00	
	Polynomial Degree k = 3						
20	5.176e-06	-	1.304e-04	-	3.751e-07	-	
40	3.236e-07	4.00	4.712e-06	4.79	6.396e-10	9.20	
80	2.023e-08	4.00	3.406e-08	7.11	2.867e-12	7.80	
160	1.264e-09	4.00	1.999e-10	7.41	3.079e-14	6.54	

TUDelft

For this problem with two stationary shocks, the post-processor requires a sufficiently fine mesh



For this problem with two stationary shocks, the post-processor requires a sufficiently fine mesh

		Before		After (Old)		After (New)		
	mesh	L^2 -error	order	L^2 -error	order	L^2 -error	order	
		Polynomial Degree k = 2						
	20	3.646e-02	-	6.808e+00	-	5.709e-01	-	
	40	2.052e-03	4.15	1.672e-01	5.35	1.249e-03	8.84	
	80	2.173e-04	3.24	6.027e-03	4.79	4.166e-05	4.91	
	160	2.682e-05	3.02	8.414e-05	6.16	1.181e-06	5.14	
		Polynomial Degree k = 3						
	20	1.085e-03	-	3.579e+00	-	2.270e-01	-	
	40	6.602e-05	4.04	1.865e-02	7.58	2.640e-03	6.43	
	80	4.132e-06	4.00	6.502e-04	4.84	5.205e-06	8.99	
T UDelft	160	2.584e-07	4.00	2.623e-06	7.95	4.670e-09	10.12	

Summary

- Using B-splines allows us to induce smoothness on the DG field and enhance accuracy.
- We can obtain this improvement from order k+1 to order 2k+1 for smoothly varying meshes as well as derivatives of the DG solution.
- Recent extensions allow us to have the improvement in accuracy near the boundaries as well.
 - The kernel is adjusted according to the point we would like to post-process.
 - Near the boundary, we use more kernel nodes.
- We can use this post-processing technique as a visualisation tool to maintain more accurate streamlines.

Acknowledgments: This research is supported by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research under grant number FA8655-09-1-3055.

