

DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

ANSWERS OF THE TEST NUMERICAL METHODS FOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS (CTB2400) Tuesday July 16 2024, 13:30-16:30

1. (a) Consider the test equation $y' = \lambda y$, then it follows that

$$w_{n+1} = w_n + (1-\theta)\lambda\Delta t w_n + \theta\lambda\Delta t w_{n+1}.$$

Solving for w_{n+1} gives

$$w_{n+1} = \frac{1 + (1 - \theta)\lambda\Delta t}{1 - \theta\lambda\Delta t} w_n.$$

Hence the amplification factor is given by

$$Q(\lambda \Delta t) = \frac{1 + (1 - \theta)\lambda \Delta t}{1 - \theta \lambda \Delta t}.$$

(b) The local truncation error for the test equation $y' = \lambda y$ is given by

$$\tau_{n+1}(\Delta t) = \frac{e^{\lambda \Delta t} - Q(\lambda \Delta t)}{\Delta t} y_n$$

The Taylor Series around 0 for $e^{\lambda \Delta t}$ is:

$$e^{\lambda \Delta t} = 1 + \lambda \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \Delta t)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3).$$

The Taylor Series around 0 for $Q(\lambda \Delta t)$ is:

$$Q(\lambda \Delta t) = (1 + (1 - \theta)\lambda \Delta t) \frac{1}{1 - \theta \lambda \Delta t}$$

= (1 + (1 - \theta)\lambda \Delta t) (1 + \theta\lambda t + \theta^2 (\lambda \Delta t)^2 + \mathcal{O} (\Delta t^3))
= 1 + \lambda \Delta t + \theta (\lambda \Delta t)^2 + \mathcal{O} (\Delta t^3).

Hence, this gives

$$e^{\lambda\Delta t} - Q(\lambda\Delta t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right) \left(\lambda\Delta t^2\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta t^3\right),$$

and hence

$$\tau_{n+1}(\Delta t) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right) (\lambda \Delta t^2) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3)}{\Delta t} y_n$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right) (\lambda \Delta t) y_n + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2) = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t) .$$

Furthermore, $\tau_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2)$ if and only if $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$.

(c) To this extent, we determine the eigenvalues of the matrix. Subsequently, these eigenvalues are substituted into the amplification factor. The eigenvalues of the matrix are given by $-1 \pm 3i$.

Using $\Delta t = 1$, $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and taking $\lambda = -1 - 3i$ (alternatively, $\lambda = -1 + 3i$), it follows that

$$Q(\lambda \Delta t) = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}(-1 - 3i)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}(-1 - 3i)}$$
$$= \frac{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}i}{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2}i}.$$

Here with, it follows that $|Q(\lambda \Delta t)|^2 = \frac{5}{9} \leq 1$. (Different methods to show this are possible.)

As the two eigenvalues are each others complex conjugate, only one eigenvalue has to be considered during the stability analysis. (Also correct: Repeating the above calculations for the other eigenvalue.)

Hence for $\Delta t = 1$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ it follows that the method applied to the given system is stable.

(d) The given method, applied to the system $\underline{x}' = A\underline{x}$ as given in the question and taking $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$, gives

$$\underline{w}_{n+1} = \underline{w}_n + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t A \underline{w}_n + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t A \underline{w}_{n+1}.$$

Rearranging gives the linear system

$$\left(I - \frac{1}{2}\Delta tA\right)\underline{w}_{n+1} = \left(I + \frac{1}{2}\Delta tA\right)\underline{w}_n.$$

With $\Delta t = 1$ and the initial condition, $\underline{w}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$, this gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} \underline{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving for \underline{w}_1 gives

$$\underline{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

2. (a) $p = \sqrt{3}$ is a fixed point of the function g if $g(\sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{3}$. We calculate g(p):

$$g(p) = g(\sqrt{3})$$

= $-\frac{1}{3}(\sqrt{3})^2 + \sqrt{3} + 1$
= $-1 + \sqrt{3} + 1$
= $\sqrt{3}$,

So $p = \sqrt{3}$ is indeed a fixed-point of the function g.

- (b) The function g is a polynomial and polynomials are continuous everywhere, so there for g also is continuous on the interval [1, 2].
- (c) First note that g is a parabola opening to the bottom and therefore g has a maximum at the point where g'(x) = 0. We solve this equation:

$$g'(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad -\frac{2}{3}x + 1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad x = \frac{3}{2}$$

so the position of the maximum of g is located in the interval [1, 2] and attains the value g(3/2) = 7/4. Therefore we conclude

$$g(x) \le 2 \quad \text{for } x \in [1, 2].$$

The function g attains its minimum on the boundary of the interval [1, 2], so evaluation of g at these points gives

$$g(1) = \frac{5}{3},$$

 $g(2) = \frac{5}{3}.$

Therefore we conclude

$$g(x) \ge 1 \quad \text{for } x \in [1, 2].$$

Putting everything together, we have found

$$1 \le g(x) \le 2$$
, for $x \in [1, 2]$.

as requested.

(d) The derivative of g is given by

$$g'(x) = -\frac{2}{3}x + 1,$$

which is a monotonous decreasing function. Therefore the minimum and maximum value are located on the boundary of the interval, leading to

So $k = \frac{1}{3}$.

(e) Remark: The final value of p_1 should be given in 4 significant digits. Failure to do so results in a deduction of $\frac{1}{4}$ point if $p_1 = \frac{5}{3}$ is stated. Remark: Calculation of p_2 using $p_1 = \frac{5}{3}$ is incorrect, and causes a deduction of $\frac{1}{4}$

point.

Remark: The final value of p_2 should be given in 4 significant digits. Failure to do so results in a deduction of $\frac{1}{4}$ point if $p_2 = \frac{47}{27}$ is stated.

Straightforward application of the fixed point iteration gives

$$p_1 = g(p_0) = g(2.000) = 1.667,$$

and

$$p_2 = g(p_1)$$

= $g(1.667)$
= 1.741.

3. (a) The **linear Lagrangian interpolatory polynomial**, with nodes x_0 and x_1 , is given by

$$L_1(x) = \frac{x - x_1}{x_0 - x_1} f(x_0) + \frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0} f(x_1).$$
(1)

This is evident from application of the given formula.

(b) The quadratic Lagrangian interpolatory polynomial with nodes x_0 , x_1 and x_2 is given by

$$L_2(x) = \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}{(x_0-x_1)(x_0-x_2)}f(x_0)$$
(2)

+
$$\frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_2)}{(x_1-x_0)(x_1-x_2)}f(x_1)$$
 (3)

+
$$\frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_1)}{(x_2-x_0)(x_2-x_1)}f(x_2).$$
 (4)

This is also evident from application of the given formula.

(c) Obviously, $L_1(3) = 6$ and $L_2(3) = 6$ since the Lagrange interpolation polynomial satisfies $L_n(x_k) = f(x_k)$ for all points x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n . Next, we compute $L_1(2)$ and $L_2(2)$ for both linear and quadratic Lagrangian interpolation as approximations at x = 2. For **linear interpolation**, we have

$$L_1(2) = \frac{2-3}{1-3} \cdot 3 + \frac{2-1}{3-1} \cdot 6 = \frac{9}{2},$$
(5)

and for quadratic interpolation, one obtains

$$L_2(2) = \frac{(2-3)(2-4)}{(1-3)(1-4)} \cdot 3 + \frac{(2-1)(2-4)}{(3-1)(3-4)} \cdot 6 + \frac{(2-1)(2-3)}{(4-1)(4-3)} \cdot 5 = \frac{16}{3}.$$
 (6)